



A novel underwater visual census: Seahorse population survey as a case study



Miguel Correia^{a,*}, Heather Koldewey^b, José Pedro Andrade^a, Jorge Palma^a

^a CCMar, Universidade do Algarve, F.C.T., Edifício 7, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

^b Project Seahorse, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

- UVC2 was more effective in finding seahorses, particularly at low density.
- UVC2 might be used to survey other seahorse species populations.
- UVC2 can be used to survey other benthic species.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 July 2015

Received in revised form

25 September 2015

Accepted 10 October 2015

Available online 23 October 2015

Keywords:

Hippocampus guttulatus

Hippocampus hippocampus

Underwater survey

Population densities

ABSTRACT

Two different Underwater Visual Census (UVC1 and UVC2) were performed at three sites in the Ria Formosa, using 30 meter transect belts, and compared for differences in seahorse density and holdfast availability. Each UVC differed in transect placement and total survey area coverage. The observed *Hippocampus guttulatus* density was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) using UVC2 for Site 1 and Site 2, but not in Site 3. No statistically significant differences were found in holdfast availability ($P > 0.05$) between the two UVC methods. We conclude that the UVC2 method is more effective in quantifying abundance of seahorses compared with UVC1, particularly in low population density scenarios. This method can also be used in assessing other seahorse species' populations, in similar habitats.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The first underwater visual census (UVC) were performed by Brock (1954) and set the basis for most studies on fish ecology using visual techniques. UVCs have since been used to study a wide variety of marine fish species, especially those exploited in fisheries (Russ, 1984; Kulbicki, 1998; Ferreira et al., 2004; Mayo and Jackson, 2006; McClanahan et al., 2009). The non-destructive nature of this technique makes it appealing for fish monitoring as it is fisheries-independent, quick and relatively inexpensive (Watson and Quinn, 1997). UVCs have also been reported to be an appropriate technique for estimating the abundance of shallow-water reef fish and are used worldwide (García-Charton et al., 2004; Floeter et al., 2007; Stobart et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2008; Leopold et al., 2009; Andrefouet and Wantiez, 2010). This method involves systematic data collection in the surveyed area, enumerating the animals and collecting environmental information (Curtis et al.,

2004) and it can be used for the estimation of relative abundances, biomass, and distribution of fish populations (Andaloro et al., 2011; Cenci et al., 2011; Pelletier et al., 2011).

However, underwater visual surveys present specific challenges, including restricted surveying time spent underwater, observer mobility and underwater visibility (Charton et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2006). Thus, UVC methodology has been adapted to monitor fish populations depending on the target fishes' biology, behaviour and surveyed area (Mapstone and Ayling, 1998; Samoily and Carlos, 2000; Ordines et al., 2005).

UVC has been widely used for surveys of particular fish families and species (De Raedemaeker et al., 2010; Kulbicki et al., 2010), including seahorses (Bell et al., 2003; Curtis et al., 2004; Curtis and Vincent, 2005; Freret-Meurer and Andreatta, 2008; Caldwell and Vincent, 2012). Although several UVC techniques have been used to monitor Syngnathid species, from timed swims (Martin-Smith, 2011; Harasti et al., 2014) to permanent transects (Sanchez-Camara and Booth, 2004), none have been tested for the two seahorse species that inhabit the Ria Formosa lagoon. In the early 2000's, Curtis and Vincent (2005) used UVC to describe the highest seahorse densities ever recorded in the Ria Formosa lagoon or anywhere elsewhere in the world. The protocol used in the latter study

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 289 800900x7376.
E-mail address: mtcorreia@ualg.pt (M. Correia).



Fig. 1. Sites location in the Ria Formosa lagoon. Site 1 (1), Site 2 (2) and Site 3 (3).

was designed based on this high seahorse density and the wide distribution of the focal species throughout the lagoon. However, a more recent study of the same seahorse populations using Curtis and Vincent (2005) UVC methodology showed a significant decrease in seahorse density: 94% and 57% for *Hippocampus guttulatus* Cuvier 1829 and *Hippocampus hippocampus* Linnaeus 1758, respectively (Caldwell and Vincent, 2012). Most seahorse species are found at low densities and are patchily distributed (Perante et al., 2002; Bell et al., 2003; Martin-Smith and Vincent, 2005; Freret-Meurer and Andreatta, 2008; Harasti et al., 2012) which highlights the importance of a proper UVC method to accurately assess the populations. This study aimed to compare a modified UVC technique designed to survey sedentary fish populations at low densities, with a previously used one, in order to assess seahorse populations in the Ria Formosa lagoon.

2. Material and methods

Two seahorse species occur in the Ria Formosa lagoon (South Portugal), the long snouted seahorse (*H. guttulatus*) and the short snouted seahorse (*H. hippocampus*). These species are easily distinguishable by their size and morphological traits, including coronet shape, number of trunk rings (Lourie et al., 2004) and skin colour patterns. The two species share a similar distribution in shallow, coastal waters of the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea (Lourie et al., 2004). However, they have distinct habitat preferences: while *H. guttulatus* has been reported to prefer habitat with greater complexity such as seagrass meadows, *H. hippocampus* is mostly found in low complexity sandy habitats (Curtis and Vincent, 2005).

Underwater surveys were carried out in the Ria Formosa lagoon (36° 59'N, 7° 51'W) and three locations were selected and surveyed on 3 occasions (Fig. 1). Surveys were performed in May and June 2012.

The surveyed sites were chosen due to their differences in habitat characteristics (Table 1). A GPS unit (Garmin® GPSMap 78s) was used to accurately determine the location of each study area and during the site delineation. The same bearing was taken while laying each transect so that the same area could be consistently covered on each sampling occasion.

On each sampling occasion, the two survey techniques were performed by SCUBA simultaneously in order to minimize bias derived from individual seahorse's daily movement. First, the UVC method (Caldwell and Vincent, 2012) was used and hereafter described as UVC1. In this methodology, three 30 m belt transects were randomly placed >5 m apart, and a 1 m wide strip on each side for each transect was covered by two divers (Fig. 2(a)), for a total area of 180 m⁻² per site. The second UVC method (specifically designed for this study) was then performed after the completion of UVC1, hereafter described as UVC2. UVC2 consisted of placing two 30 m belt transects in parallel and 2 m wide strips on each side were surveyed, covering a total area of 240 m⁻² (Fig. 2(b)). Surveys were carried out by 2 divers in each UVC method. Both divers performed the two UVC methods in order to minimize observational bias. All surveys were carried out at slack high tide when turbidity is lowest, visibility greatest and to minimize effects of the water current. At each sampling site, the two methodologies were performed over a 2 h period. Whenever a seahorse was found, information on species, sex, substrate type, holdfast type and overall bottom coverage (in a 1 m² diameter area at each seahorse location) was recorded. Habitat complexity was considered low if bottom coverage (i.e. number of holdfasts available), was less than two holdfasts m⁻²; medium for 2–10 holdfasts m⁻²; and high for more than 10 holdfasts m⁻². Holdfast distribution was considered patchy when the distance between holdfasts was greater than a 3-m radius. In each sampling, human related activities were recorded to determine their impact in each site. Sites were considered as highly impacted by human activities when two or more activities were observed during all the sampling occasions (i.e., fishing, boat traffic and anchoring); medium impact when at least two of the activities were observed in at least half of the sampling occasions; and low impact when less than two activities were observed in less than half of the sampling occasions. Seahorse abundance was calculated for each UVC at each site, and the Student *t*-test was used to test for differences in abundance (Zar, 1999). For each site, the 3 surveys were pooled together for the statistical analysis.

3. Results

The observed overall mean ± S.D. seahorse abundances (both species pooled together) using UVC1 was 12.0 ± 4.0, 3.0 ± 1.0 and

Table 1
Description of each surveyed site regarding depth (in meters); hydrodynamics (water flow); substrate type; habitat complexity (holdfast availability); holdfast distribution; and human impact.

Location	Depth (m)	Hydrodynamics (m s^{-1})	Substrate	Habitat complexity	Holdfast distribution	Human impact
Site 1	5–6	High (0.5–1)	Sand	High	Patchy	High (fisheries and boat anchoring)
Site 2	3–4	Medium (0.3–0.7)	Mud	Medium	Patchy	Medium (occasional fisheries)
Site 3	2–3	Low (0.1–0.5)	Sand	Low	Homogeneous	Low (no human activity)




Fig. 2. Design of the two UVC methodologies tested. (a) Previously used methodology (UVC1) and (b) new proposed methodology (UVC2). Arrows indicate diver's direction during survey.

11.0 ± 1.0 seahorses per transect, whereas abundances using UVC2 were 23.0 ± 1.0 , 21.0 ± 8.0 and 14.0 ± 5.0 seahorses, at Site 1, 2 and 3, respectively. *H. guttulatus* and *H. hippocampus* densities at each site, using the two different UVCs are presented in Fig. 3. The observed *H. guttulatus* densities with the two UVC protocols were significantly different in Site 1 ($t_{(4)} = 4.492$, $P < 0.05$) and Site 2 ($t_{(4)} = 4.053$, $P < 0.05$) were but not significantly different at Site 3 ($P > 0.05$). No significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were found between protocols in Site 1 and Site 3 for *H. hippocampus*. No *H. hippocampus* was found at Site 2. No statistical differences ($P > 0.05$) were found in gender or size for both species at all surveyed Sites. In all UVC performed only seahorse adults were found.

The seahorse holdfasts at sighting are presented in Fig. 4. The most abundant holdfast available at Site 1 was shells while Site 2

and Site 3 had higher holdfast availability of *Ascidia* sp. Regardless of the UVC methodology used, no statistical differences were found ($P > 0.05$) in holdfast use.

4. Discussion

Considering the low mobility, reduced home range behaviour and patchy distribution reported for seahorse species, the UVC methodology needs to be appropriately selected to match the species and site specificities, as reported for other species (De Girolamo and Mazzoldi, 2001; Harvey et al., 2004; Colton and Swearer, 2010). Our results indicate higher seahorse sightings when using the UVC2 methodology developed in this study, but only for one of the two seahorse species found in the study site, *H. guttulatus*. This may be explained by the patchy distribution reported for *H. guttulatus* (Curtis and Vincent, 2006) related to habitat constraints such as low holdfast availability and the different habitat preferences of the two species. As seahorses rely on their prehensile tail to grasp on holdfasts to enhance their cryptic behaviour and cope with high hydrodynamic environments (Curtis and Vincent, 2006), this behaviour is also responsible for their low mobility and small home ranges (Curtis and Vincent, 2006; Caldwell and Vincent, 2013). Therefore, ensuring that the areas with available holdfasts are also represented in the survey is of utmost importance, as they usually sustain higher probabilities of seahorse occurrence. Failing to survey these areas, might skew and bias any calculated seahorse densities, thus leading to misleading evaluations with regard the actual status of the targeted populations.

Considering that both seahorse species that occur in the Ria Formosa have different habitat preferences, this fact should be considered as part of the UVC methodology design. Although both species preferred using covered microhabitats as holdfasts, *H. hippocampus* was observed more often on bare surfaces than *H. guttulatus* (Curtis and Vincent, 2005). This could explain why there were no statistical differences in abundance for this species when using the two different UVC methods. In contrast, *H. guttulatus* has been reported to be associated with higher habitat complexities and to be a holdfast generalist. Therefore, UVC2 seems to be more suitable for surveying *H. guttulatus* populations as it focuses on target areas that correspond to this species' occurrence.

Within the Ria Formosa lagoon, high holdfast densities are patchily distributed, confined to small areas and usually located close to the main channels. These areas create an environment that




Fig. 3. Seahorse density (seahorse m^{-2}) of the two seahorse species, *H. hippocampus* (HH) and *H. guttulatus* (HG), in Site 1 (a), Site 2 (b) and Site 3 (c), using two different UVCs (□—UVC1 and ■—UVC2). Statistical differences ($P < 0.05$) are presented by (*). Bars represent standard deviation.




Fig. 4. Seahorse holdfast use (%) at sighting time, using UVC1 (□) and UVC2 (■). Bars represent standard deviation.

seems to be suitable for seahorse settlement and therefore should be included in the sampling areas, when performing a population census using UVC techniques. The summed effect of seahorse patchiness and the randomness of the transect positioning and direction of UVC1 used by Curtis and Vincent (2005) and Caldwell and Vincent (2012), may underestimate the number of seahorses occurring in these important areas, thus overlooking seahorses within the population and providing potentially biased abundance information. Nevertheless, this method is suitable for surveying areas with high holdfast availability, evenly distributed holdfasts, and in sites with high seahorse density. This seems to be the case of Site 3, where habitats with homogeneous holdfast distribution might not have been influenced by the UVC technique used. At this site, seahorses were found scattered throughout a wide area and therefore the effect of using different UVC on overall seahorse sightings was not statistically different. In contrast, UVC2 seems to be more appropriate in low density scenarios as it was designed to survey any sampled area evenly, thus removing any possibility to miss holdfast patches present in the designated survey location. Moreover, this method may be more appropriate than UVC1 for long term monitoring populations as the same survey area is monitored in every survey event, allowing a more accurate perspective on population trends and evaluation of habitat changes. Considering the observed fluctuations in seahorse populations within the

Ria Formosa lagoon in the last 10 years (Curtis and Vincent, 2005; Caldwell and Vincent, 2012), we conclude that the UVC2 method is more appropriate for a long-term monitoring programme at this location as it was shown to cope better with low density population scenarios. This method is also likely to have wider applicability for surveying seahorses that globally tend to be found at low densities (Perante et al., 2002; Bell et al., 2003; Martin-Smith and Vincent, 2005; Freret-Meurer and Andreatta, 2008; Harasti et al., 2012).

Acknowledgements

Miguel Correia was supported by a Ph.D. grant (FCT–Portugal) (BD/41020/ 2007). The study was supported by the scientific projects INAQUA and HIPPOSAFE (Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Ref. PTDC/MAR/122616/2010). Thanks are also due to Project Seahorse (<http://seahorse.fisheries.ubc.ca/>) for providing logistic support for underwater surveys and to all the volunteers who participated in the data collection. The authors would like to thank the contribution of 3 anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the manuscript.

References

- Andaloro, F., Castriota, L., Ferraro, M., Romeo, T., Sara, G., Consoli, P., 2011. Evaluating fish assemblages associated with gas platforms: Evidence from a visual census technique and experimental fishing surveys. *Cienc. Mar.* 37, 1–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7773/cm.v37i1.1699>.
- Andrefouet, S., Wantiez, L., 2010. Characterizing the diversity of coral reef habitats and fish communities found in a UNESCO World Heritage Site: The strategy developed for Lagoons of New Caledonia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 61, 612–620. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.06.031>.
- Bell, E.M., Lockyear, J.F., McPherson, J.M., Marsden, A.D., Vincent, A.C.J., 2003. First field studies of an endangered South African seahorse, *Hippocampus capensis*. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 67, 35–46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1024440717162>.
- Caldwell, I.R., Vincent, A.C.J., 2012. Revisiting two sympatric European seahorse species: apparent decline in the absence of exploitation. *Aquat. Conserv.: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.* 22, 427–435. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/Aqc.2238>.
- Caldwell, I.R., Vincent, A.C.J., 2013. A sedentary fish on the move: effects of displacement on long-snouted seahorse (*Hippocampus guttulatus* Cuvier) movement and habitat use. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 96, 67–75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-012-0023-4>.
- Cenci, E., Pizzolon, M., Chimento, N., Mazzoldi, C., 2011. The influence of a new artificial structure on fish assemblages of adjacent hard substrata. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 91, 133–149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.10.009>.
- Charton, J.A.G., Williams, I.D., Ruzafa, A.P., Milazzo, M., Chemello, R., Marcos, C., Kitsos, M.S., Koukouras, A., Riggio, S., 2000. Evaluating the ecological effects of Mediterranean marine protected areas: habitat, scale and the natural variability of ecosystems. *Environ. Conserv.* 27, 159–178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0376892900000199>.
- Colton, M.A., Swearer, S.E., 2010. A comparison of two survey methods: differences between underwater visual census and baited remote underwater video. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 400, 19–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/Meps08377>.
- Curtis, J., Moreau, M.A., Marsden, D., Bell, E., Martin-Smith, K., Samoilys, M., Vincent, A., 2004. Underwater visual census for seahorse population assessments. Project Seahorse Technical Report No. 8. Project Seahorse, Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, p. 28.
- Curtis, J.M.R., Vincent, A.C.J., 2005. Distribution of sympatric seahorse species along a gradient of habitat complexity in a seagrass-dominated community. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 291, 81–91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps291081>.
- Curtis, J.M.R., Vincent, A.C.J., 2006. Life history of an unusual marine fish: survival, growth and movement patterns of *Hippocampus guttulatus* Cuvier 1829. *J. Fish Biol.* 68, 707–733. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-1112.2006.00952.x>.
- De Girolamo, M., Mazzoldi, C., 2001. The application of visual census on Mediterranean rocky habitats. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 51, 1–16. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0141-1136\(00\)00028-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0141-1136(00)00028-3).
- De Raedemaecker, F., Miliou, A., Perkins, R., 2010. Fish community structure on littoral rocky shores in the Eastern Aegean Sea: Effects of exposure and substratum. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 90, 35–44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.08.007>.
- Evans, R.D., Russ, G.R., Kritzer, J.P., 2008. Batch fecundity of *Lutjanus carponotatus* (Lutjanidae) and implications of no-take marine reserves on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Coral Reefs* 27, 179–189. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0309-8>.
- Ferreira, C.E.L., Floeter, S.R., Gasparini, J.L., Ferreira, B.P., Joyeux, J.C., 2004. Trophic structure patterns of Brazilian reef fishes: a latitudinal comparison. *J. Biogeogr.* 31, 1093–1106. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2004.01044.x>.
- Floeter, S.R., Krohling, W., Gasparini, J.L., Ferreira, C.E.L., Zalmon, I.R., 2007. Reef fish community structure on coastal islands of the southeastern Brazil: the influence of exposure and benthic cover. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 78, 147–160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-006-9084-6>.

- Freret-Meurer, N.V., Andreato, J.V., 2008. Field studies of a Brazilian seahorse population, *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, 1933. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 51, 743–751. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132008000400012>.
- García-Charton, J.A., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., Sánchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J.T., Reñones, O., Moreno, D., 2004. Multi-scale spatial heterogeneity, habitat structure, and the effect of marine reserves on Western Mediterranean rocky reef fish assemblages. *Mar. Biol.* 144, 161–182. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1170-0>.
- Harasti, D., Martin-Smith, K., Gladstone, W., 2012. Population dynamics and life history of a geographically restricted seahorse, *Hippocampus whitei*. *J. Fish Biol.* 81, 1297–1314. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03406.x>.
- Harasti, D., Martin-Smith, K., Gladstone, W., 2014. Does a no-take marine protected area benefit seahorses? *PLoS One* 9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105462>.
- Harvey, E., Fletcher, D., Shortis, M.R., Kendrick, G.A., 2004. A comparison of underwater visual distance estimates made by scuba divers and a stereo-video system: implications for underwater visual census of reef fish abundance. *Mar. Freshwater Res.* 55, 573–580. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/Mf03130>.
- Kulbicki, M., 1998. How the acquired behaviour of commercial reef fishes may influence the results obtained from visual censuses. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 222, 11–30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981\(97\)00133-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00133-0).
- Kulbicki, M., Cornuet, N., Vigliola, L., Wantiez, L., Moutham, G., Chabanet, P., 2010. Counting coral reef fishes: Interaction between fish life-history traits and transect design. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 387, 15–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.03.003>.
- Leopold, M., Cakacaka, A., Meo, S., Sikolia, J., Lecchini, D., 2009. Evaluation of the effectiveness of three underwater reef fish monitoring methods in Fiji. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 18, 3367–3382. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9646-y>.
- Lourie, S.A., Foster, S.J., Cooper, E.W., Vincent, A.C., 2004. A Guide to the Identification of Seahorses. Project Seahorse and TRAFFIC North America, Washington, DC, University of British Columbia and World Wildlife Fund.
- Mapstone, B.D., Ayling, A.M., 1998. *An Investigation of Optimum Methods and Unit Sizes for the Visual Estimation of Abundances of Some Coral Reef Organisms*. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, Qld.
- Martin-Smith, K.M., 2011. Photo-identification of individual weedy seadragons *Phyllopteryx taeniolatus* and its application in estimating population dynamics. *J. Fish Biol.* 78, 1757–1768. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.02966.x>.
- Martin-Smith, K.M., Vincent, A.C.J., 2005. Seahorse declines in the Derwent estuary, Tasmania in the absence of fishing pressure. *Biol. Cons.* 123, 533–545. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.01.003>.
- Mayo, J.S., Jackson, D.A., 2006. Quantifying littoral vertical habitat structure and fish community associations using underwater visual census. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 75, 395–407. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-005-5150-8>.
- McClanahan, T.R., Graham, N.A.J., Wilson, S.K., Letourneur, Y., Fisher, R., 2009. Effects of fisheries closure size, age, and history of compliance on coral reef fish communities in the western Indian Ocean. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 396, 99–109. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/Meps08279>.
- Ordines, F., Moranta, J., Palmer, M., Lerycke, A., Suau, A., Morales-Nin, B., Grau, A.M., 2005. Variations in a shallow rocky reef fish community at different spatial scales in the western Mediterranean Sea. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 304, 221–233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps304221>.
- Pelletier, D., Leleu, K., Mou-Tham, G., Guillemot, N., Chabanet, P., 2011. Comparison of visual census and high definition video transects for monitoring coral reef fish assemblages. *Fish. Res.* 107, 84–93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2010.10.011>.
- Perante, N.C., Pajaro, M.G., Meeuwig, J.J., Vincent, A.C.J., 2002. Biology of a seahorse species, *Hippocampus comes* in the central Philippines. *J. Fish Biol.* 60, 821–837. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfbi.2002.1878>.
- Russ, G., 1984. Distribution and abundance of herbivorous grazing fishes in the central Great Barrier Reef. I. Levels of variability across the entire continental shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* Oldendorf 20, 23–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps020023>.
- Samoilys, M.A., Carlos, G., 2000. Determining methods of underwater visual census for estimating the abundance of coral reef fishes. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 57, 289–304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007679109359>.
- Sanchez-Camara, J., Booth, D.J., 2004. Movement, home range and site fidelity of the weedy seadragon *Phyllopteryx taeniolatus* (Teleostei: Syngnathidae). *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 70, 31–41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:EBFI.0000022850.33643.e3>.
- Stobart, B., García-Charton, J.A., Espejo, C., Rochel, E., Goni, R., Renones, O., Herrero, A., Crec'hriou, R., Polti, S., Marcos, C., Planes, S., Perez-Ruzafa, A., 2007. A baited underwater video technique to assess shallow-water Mediterranean fish assemblages: Methodological evaluation. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 345, 158–174. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.02.009>.
- Watson, R.A., Quinn, T.J., 1997. Performance of transect and point count underwater visual census methods. *Ecol. Modell.* 104, 103–112. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(97\)00117-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(97)00117-8).
- Williams, I.D., Walsh, W.J., Tissot, B.N., Hallacher, L.E., 2006. Impact of observers' experience level on counts of fishes in underwater visual surveys. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 310, 185–191. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps310185>.
- Zar, J.H., 1999. *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA.